

M6HPUNIC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
3 -----x

4 UNITED KING FILM DISTRIBUTION  
5 LTD, ET AL.,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 21 CV 11024 (KPF)

9 DOES 1-10 d/b/a Israel.tv,

10 Defendants.  
11 -----x

12 New York, N.Y.  
13 June 17, 2022  
14 11:13 a.m.

15 Before:

16 HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA,

17 District Judge

18 APPEARANCES

19 KAUFMAN & KAHN, LLP  
20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
21 BY: MARK S. KAUFMAN

22 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
23 Attorneys for Respondent Cloudflare, Inc.  
24 BY: THOMAS J. KEARNEY  
25 SEAN ANDERSON

M6HPUNIC

1 (In open court)

2 (Case called)

3 MR. KAUFMAN: Mark Kaufman for the plaintiffs, from  
4 Kaufman and Kahn, LLP.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Kaufman, good morning to you.

6 And at the back table, please?

7 MR. KEARNEY: Thomas Kearney from Winston and Strawn  
8 for non-party respondent Cloudflare.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 MR. KEARNEY: And, your Honor, I have my associate,  
11 Sean Anderson. He has not made an appearance. He is barred in  
12 this court, and I understand you might want to admit him pro  
13 hac vice for this hearing.

14 THE COURT: I will do so that. If he wishes to speak  
15 further in this case, he will file a notice of appearance.

16 So, Mr. Anderson, am I directing my questions to you  
17 this morning?

18 MR. ANDERSON: No, your Honor. I won't be speaking.

19 THE COURT: After all of that? Mr. Kearney, can he  
20 talk?

21 MR. KEARNEY: Belts and suspenders, your Honor. He  
22 may.

23 THE COURT: All right. Throw him a bone. Give him a  
24 question.

25 All right. Mr. Kaufman, let me speak to you first.

M6HPUNIC

1 As I understand the lay of the land in this series of cases,  
2 there are currently two sets of what I'll call discussions  
3 going on. We have this proceeding against Cloudflare, there  
4 are discussions as well involving the Google entity. Google is  
5 not here today. I don't expect to be dealing with them, but I  
6 understand, at least I understand and perhaps I'm understanding  
7 incorrectly, that your clients or you and the Google folks are  
8 speaking to each other and seeing if things can be worked out  
9 short of an appearance before me.

10 The concern that I have, sir, and the concern that I  
11 wish to communicate to you, is that I have the information that  
12 I had at the time that I issued the relief that I issued and,  
13 of course, there are some interesting atmospherics in this  
14 case. There does seem to be infringement by the defendants, if  
15 only we could really identify them, and at the time that I  
16 issued that relief, they had not appeared. They were not going  
17 to appear, and I really didn't have the benefit of the  
18 adversary process.

19 I have it now. The more of these matters that I see,  
20 and I think it's fair to say that between Cloudflare and the  
21 Electronic Frontier Foundation's amicus brief that I received  
22 last evening, I'm starting to be concerned that I may have  
23 issued relief that was overbroad.

24 And I tell this to you because I think rather than  
25 pursuing contempt today, I think you and the folks behind you

M6HPUNIC

1 should talk and see if there isn't a way for your client to get  
2 the redress it may deserve under the law regarding its  
3 copyright protections, and then the folks at the back table  
4 won't have to defend against contempt, which sounds bad, and  
5 can be bad, and I don't have to make these decisions about  
6 things such as whether there was active concert of  
7 participation, or whether there has been adequate notice,  
8 whether safe harbors may come into play.

9                   So without telling you what to do, I think it might  
10 make sense for you and the folks behind you to have a  
11 conversation before we have an oral argument, but let me hear  
12 from you and then I'll hear from Mr. Anderson.

13                  MR. KAUFMAN: I was going to suggest something very  
14 similar, your Honor.

15                  THE COURT: Great. Okay. So what are you suggesting,  
16 sir?

17                  MR. KAUFMAN: My suggestion is we've been trying to  
18 communicate with Cloudflare since serving them with your order,  
19 dated April 26th. We served them April 29th, and then May 7th.  
20 I sent e-mails, to abuse, at Cloudflare on May 11th and  
21 May 19th, if I recall. It's in my declaration.

22                  THE COURT: Yes.

23                  MR. KAUFMAN: We got no response, and we got no  
24 response as to, at that time, Israel.tv, which at that time was  
25 still extant and functioning. If we had had someone to talk

M6HPUNIC

1 to, we could have discussed these additional five newly  
2 discovered domains, and we would love to have their  
3 cooperation.

4 That being said, they not only have not spoken with  
5 us, but their litigation history indicates that they would not  
6 voluntarily help us. It would be wonderful to have some  
7 cooperation and simply stop providing services that enable  
8 streaming of pirated content.

9 THE COURT: Now, again, I won't make any promises on  
10 their behalf that they will do that, but certainly you did give  
11 me some documentation in your supplemental declaration that  
12 suggests perhaps that the new folks might have some ties to the  
13 old folks that you were looking to prevent from pirating the  
14 content of your clients; so that is fine.

15 And I'm sure, as you know happens sometimes, it is the  
16 institution of motion practice or a request for court  
17 intervention that prompts a party to really think about what it  
18 wants to do.

19 So what do you suggest? Do you suggest perhaps  
20 putting this over for 30 days so that you can speak with the  
21 Cloudflare folks, or something other than that?

22 MR. KAUFMAN: That part hadn't occurred to me. Is it  
23 feasible -- I don't know if -- every day that passes that these  
24 pirates are streaming their content -- 30 days is a long time  
25 for Cloudflare to say, we'll think about it and do nothing.

M6HPUNIC

1           THE COURT: Fair, fair. My issue is, I'm running into  
2 some trial obligations.

3           MR. KAUFMAN: Yes.

4           THE COURT: So I don't want to be the problem.

5           MR. KAUFMAN: Could we go off the record for the  
6 moment, your Honor.

7           THE COURT: We could. Let's go off the record,  
8 please. Yes.

9           (Discussion off the record)

10          THE COURT: We're going back on the record. Having  
11 spoken with the parties and compared notes about schedules, I  
12 am expecting progress to be made between today and the 28th of  
13 June.

14          Obviously, gentlemen, and at the moment it's all  
15 gentlemen, if talks breakdown before the 28th, write to me.  
16 Write to me right away, but I want you to take a stab, if  
17 you'll excuse the express, at trying to work this out  
18 consistent with the protocols that Cloudflare has talked about  
19 with respect to their concerns or their efforts to prevent  
20 pirated content or from being involved with pirated content.

21          So I'll hear from you no later than the 28th. I have  
22 gotten what I think are commitments from both of defense  
23 counsel to be attentive to this matter, and I thank them in  
24 advance for their attentiveness.

25          Start talking now. We are adjourned. Thank you very

M6HPUNIC

1 much.

2 MR. KEARNEY: Thank you very much, your Honor.

3 MR. KAUFMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

4 (Adjourned)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25